

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/870,128

Applicant(s)

IHLE ET AL.

Examiner

Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1637

All Participants:(1) Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.(2) Peter Foiles.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

I called to correct a mistake in the restriction mailed March 17, 2005. Dependent claims 63-79 were improperly left with the claims in Group I. I verified that applicant still elects to prosecute Group I, consisting of claims 39-58 and 80-93.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)